

The Albany, GA data center proposal (what it is)

Location

- Outside Albany, in **Dougherty County, Georgia**
- Southwest Georgia region (near existing utility corridors and available land)

This is part of a broader push making Georgia one of the fastest-growing U.S. data center markets.

Scale of the project

The most consistent public reporting describes:

- 💰 **\$10–14 billion investment (Microsoft Azure proposal)**
- 🏢 Multi-building campus (not a single facility)
- ⚡ Phased buildout over time
- ⚡ Large grid interconnection requirements

Power demand (critical detail)

- Estimated at **hundreds of megawatts at full build-out**
- Comparable proposals in Georgia range from **100 MW to 900 MW campuses**

For context:

- 100 MW $\approx$ a small city's electricity use
 - 500–900 MW $\approx$ a mid-sized utility load
-



Likely purpose of the campus

This is not a traditional “website hosting” data center.

It is designed for:

- Cloud computing
- AI training and inference workloads
- Large-scale GPU clusters
- Enterprise Azure workloads

These systems are typically powered by accelerators like those from NVIDIA and AMD.



Why Albany, Georgia?

Developers target Southwest Georgia because of:

1. Cheap land + large parcels

- Easier to assemble 100–500+ acre campuses

2. Electrical infrastructure access

- Georgia Power transmission expansion in the region

3. Water availability (relative to western states)

- Cooling needs still matter, but water constraints are less severe than in the Southwest U.S.

4. Tax incentives

Georgia has aggressively competed for data center investment.

Grid implications (big issue)

A project at this scale requires:

- New substations
- Transmission upgrades
- Long-term capacity planning

Local reporting on similar Georgia projects notes concerns about:

- Electricity demand growth
 - Limited permanent job creation
 - Strain on infrastructure relative to tax incentives
-

Water + environmental considerations

Even though “closed-loop” cooling is often used inside AI campuses, large sites still typically require:

- Cooling infrastructure (dry coolers or hybrid systems)
- Possible evaporative components depending on design
- Stormwater management systems

Local concerns across Georgia data center growth include:

- Water usage spikes during peak cooling
 - Stormwater runoff from large impervious surfaces
 - Land clearing and habitat fragmentation
-

Community and political context

Like many modern AI data center proposals, the Albany project has sparked:

- Public skepticism about benefits vs. costs
- Concerns about:
 - energy prices
 - water usage
 - noise

- land use changes

At the same time, supporters argue:

- Economic development
 - Tax base expansion
 - Infrastructure investment
 - Positioning Georgia as an AI hub
-

Important nuance: it may not be “one giant box”

Many reports describe it as:

- **A campus of multiple buildings**
- Built in phases
- Possibly evolving over time depending on demand

This matters because early filings often:

- Overstate maximum power capacity
 - Reflect “full build-out scenario,” not initial construction
-

What is NOT fully confirmed yet

Based on current public information, these remain unclear:

- Exact tenant (Microsoft is widely reported but not fully formalized publicly in all sources)
 - Final MW capacity
 - Final design (air vs liquid vs hybrid cooling mix)
 - Construction timeline certainty
 - Exact site boundaries in Dougherty County
-



How it fits the bigger trend

Albany is part of a broader Georgia wave that includes:

- 100–200 MW campuses
- 500–900 MW mega-campus (statewide trend)
- AI-focused hyperscale infrastructure buildout

Examples in the same state include:

- Augusta ~200 MW campus proposals
- Macon-area ~900 MW projects
- Multiple Atlanta metro expansions



Bottom line

The Albany, GA proposal is best understood as:

A large, multi-phase hyperscale AI cloud campus (likely Microsoft Azure-linked), potentially in the 100–500+ MW class, designed for long-term AI and cloud computing growth.

Key themes:

- Massive power demand (utility-scale)
- Major infrastructure expansion required
- Early-stage planning / permitting
- Strong local debate over benefits vs. costs

A **500 MW AI data center campus** is less like a single “building” and more like a **small industrial city built for computation**. At that scale, you’re talking about infrastructure comparable to a power plant complex or a large logistics hub—except the “product” is AI compute.

Here’s what it physically looks like and how it operates.

1. Scale in plain energy terms

A 500 MW AI campus means:

$$500 \text{ MW} = 500,000 \text{ kW}$$

That is roughly:

- Enough power for ~300,000–400,000 U.S. homes (depending on usage patterns)
- Or a small city

And that's just IT load. With cooling and overhead, total facility demand can be:

600–800 MW total grid demand (typical range)

2. Physical footprint (what it looks like on the ground)

A 500 MW campus usually spans:

-  **200 to 1,000+ acres**
-  **6 to 20+ large data halls**
-  **Multiple electrical substations**
-  Internal road network (like a mini industrial park)

From above, it often looks like:

- Rows of large, windowless rectangular buildings
- Huge fenced utility yards
- Cooling infrastructure fields (dry coolers or towers)
- Substation yards with transformers

It resembles:

A mix between an Amazon fulfillment campus and a power plant complex



3. Inside the buildings (AI compute halls)

Each building contains:

Server racks

Modern AI racks (like those using systems from NVIDIA) can draw:

- 80 kW → 150 kW per rack
- Future systems potentially higher

Density implication

That means:

- One building can host **thousands of GPUs**
 - Entire halls are essentially “AI factories”
-



4. Cooling systems (massive industrial infrastructure)

Cooling is one of the largest visible components.

Two dominant designs:

A. Liquid cooling (common for AI)

- Direct-to-chip cold plates
- Warm-water loops
- Coolant distribution units (CDUs)

B. Heat rejection systems

Depending on design:

Dry coolers (no water evaporation)

- Huge outdoor fan farms
- Loud, continuous airflow

- Very large land footprint

Hybrid systems

- Dry most of the year
 - Evaporative assist during peak heat
-



5. Water system footprint

Even “efficient” designs still need water for:

- Cooling tower makeup (if used)
- Humidification (some climates)
- Facility systems

At 500 MW scale:

- Millions of gallons/day possible in evaporative-heavy designs
- Near-zero operational water possible only in full dry-cooled designs (with higher energy cost)

Water systems include:

- On-site treatment
 - Storage tanks
 - Backup supply connections
-



6. Power infrastructure (like a mini utility)

A 500 MW campus requires:

Substations

- Multiple high-voltage substations (often 2–6+)
- Step-down transformers (transmission → distribution → server voltage)

Transmission lines

- New high-voltage lines often built specifically for the site

Backup systems

- Diesel or gas generators (hundreds of units in some cases)
 - UPS systems (often lithium-ion based, like those used in systems from Tesla energy storage ecosystems)
-

7. Noise footprint

At this scale, noise becomes constant industrial background:

- Cooling fans (primary source)
- Generator testing (intermittent but loud)
- Transformers (low-frequency hum)

Typical perception:

- Within site: industrial factory noise
 - Nearby boundary: constant “wind/traffic-like” hum
-

8. Traffic and logistics

A campus like this supports continuous logistics:

- Construction traffic (years of buildout)
- Replacement hardware deliveries
- Fuel deliveries for generators
- Maintenance crews

During build phase, it can resemble a **long-term industrial construction zone**.



9. Layout (simplified mental map)

A typical 500 MW campus looks like this:

- Edge: transmission lines and substations
- Core: multiple data halls (rectangular buildings)
- Side zones: cooling farms (dry coolers or towers)
- Utility zone: water treatment + storage
- Backup zone: generator field
- Internal roads connecting everything

It is highly modular—built in phases.



10. Heat output (the invisible “product”)

Almost all electrical power becomes heat.

So:

500 MW IT load $\approx$ 500 MW of continuous heat output

That heat must be:

- Removed continuously
- Moved to air or water
- Discharged into the environment

This is why cooling infrastructure is so large.



11. AI workload reality

These campuses exist because AI workloads require:

- Massive parallel GPU clusters
- Continuous training runs (days–weeks)
- Low-latency inference systems

Without GPUs from companies like AMD and NVIDIA, these campuses wouldn't exist at this scale.



12. What makes it controversial

A 500 MW AI campus concentrates:

Resource demand

- City-scale electricity use
- Industrial-scale cooling demand

Environmental footprint

- Land conversion
- Water use (depending on design)
- Transmission expansion

Community impact

- Noise
 - Construction disruption
 - Infrastructure strain
-



Bottom line

A 500 MW AI campus is essentially:

A city-sized computing factory powered by utility-scale electricity and cooled by industrial HVAC infrastructure

It includes:

- 10+ massive server buildings
- Utility substations like a power plant
- Either huge dry cooling farms or evaporative systems
- Backup generation fields
- Continuous 24/7 industrial operation

1. Real-world comparison (500 MW AI campus vs. Albany GA-style proposal)

Power scale comparison

Facility type	Power demand
Small enterprise data center	5–20 MW
Large cloud region facility	50–150 MW
Typical hyperscale campus	100–300 MW
AI mega-campus	300–500+ MW
Full “AI city-scale campus”	500–1,000 MW+

So a **500 MW campus is top-tier hyperscale AI infrastructure**—closer to a small utility load than a normal data center.

How Albany, GA-type proposals compare

Projects reported in places like Albany (and similar Georgia builds) are generally:

- Early phases often **100–300 MW**
- Full buildouts sometimes marketed as **500 MW+ potential**
- Built in **phases over years**

So:

A 500 MW campus is often the *full mature version* of what those proposals aim to become.

But importantly:

- Initial construction is usually much smaller
 - “500 MW” is often **ultimate capacity**, not day-one size
-

Land footprint comparison

500 MW AI campus:

- ~200 to 1,000+ acres

What that feels like:

- Roughly:
 - 150–750 football fields
 - A small industrial town footprint
-

Building count comparison

Typical breakdown:

- 6–20+ large data halls
 - 2–6 electrical substations
 - 1–3 cooling fields (dry coolers or towers)
 - Generator farm (dozens to hundreds of units depending on design)
-

Grid comparison (this is the biggest difference)

A 500 MW campus is comparable to:

- **A mid-sized power plant output**
- **A small city's entire electricity demand**

For context:

- Albany, GA metro demand is nowhere near 500 MW continuous load
 - The campus becomes one of the dominant electrical customers in its region
-

2. “What happens when it turns on” (step-by-step)

This is how a 500 MW AI campus actually goes from construction to running AI workloads.



Phase 1: Grid connection & energization

Before any servers run:

Utility builds:

- Transmission lines (often new high-voltage corridors)
- Substations (step-down from transmission voltage)

Then:

- Power is energized in stages
- Substations are tested under load
- Electrical redundancy is verified

Nothing compute-related runs yet.



Phase 2: Building commissioning

Each data hall is brought online one at a time.

Systems tested:

- Electrical distribution (PDUs, switchgear)
- Cooling loops (liquid or air systems)
- Fire suppression systems
- Backup generators + UPS systems

At this stage:

- Buildings are “live” but empty
-



Phase 3: Cooling system activation

Cooling is fully tested before servers arrive.

Depending on design:

Liquid cooling systems:

- Pumps circulate coolant
- CDU (coolant distribution units) tested
- Leak detection systems validated

Dry cooling systems:

- Massive fan arrays tested at full speed
- Heat rejection loops stabilized

This is one of the loudest pre-operations phases.



Phase 4: Server deployment begins

Now hardware arrives in waves:

- Rack installation
- Network equipment setup
- Storage clusters added
- GPU servers deployed (e.g., systems powered by NVIDIA or AMD)

At first:

- Only a small fraction of total capacity is active (5–10%)
-



Phase 5: AI cluster initialization

Once enough racks are online:

Systems come alive:

- Distributed compute clusters formed
- AI training frameworks initialized

- Networking fabric (high-speed interconnects) activated

This is when the campus becomes “real” compute infrastructure.

Phase 6: Workload ramp-up (the critical phase)

The facility scales in stages:

Step 1:

- Internal testing workloads

Step 2:

- Enterprise workloads
- Cloud services

Step 3:

- Full AI training jobs (large GPU clusters running continuously)

Power ramps toward:

500 MW steady-state load (eventual peak target)

Phase 7: Cooling stabilizes under full heat load

At full operation:

- Cooling systems run 24/7
- Heat rejection becomes constant industrial output
- Any inefficiency shows up immediately as throttling or higher energy use

At this point:

The campus behaves like a continuous industrial heat engine



Phase 8: Continuous optimization

Once running, operators constantly adjust:

- Workload placement (move AI jobs between racks/regions)
- Cooling efficiency tuning
- Power usage balancing
- Generator readiness testing
- Grid demand response participation (in some regions)

Companies like Microsoft and Google increasingly automate this layer heavily.

3. Key insight: why this is not “one moment”

A 500 MW AI campus does NOT “turn on” all at once.

Instead:

- It is **incrementally energized**
- Built in **phases over years**
- Ramps from:
 - 5 MW → 50 MW → 200 MW → 500 MW+

Think of it like:

A power plant that gradually becomes a city-scale computer over time



Final mental model

A 500 MW AI campus is best understood as:

A modular industrial computing city that is slowly “woken up” in sections, until it becomes a continuously operating AI factory at utility scale.

For a **500 MW AI data center campus (full buildout)**, the job impact is usually much smaller than people expect. These facilities are extremely capital-intensive but **not very labor-intensive once operating**.

The numbers vary by design, automation level, and whether you include contractors, but real-world hyperscale patterns are fairly consistent.



1. Construction phase (temporary jobs)

This is where most employment happens.

For a 500 MW campus:

- **2,000 to 6,000+ construction workers at peak**
- Over multiple years (often 3–7 years total buildout)

Types of construction jobs:

- Civil earthwork crews
- Electrical contractors
- Steel and concrete workers
- Substation and transmission crews
- Cooling infrastructure installers
- Network fiber installation teams

📌 Important:

These jobs are **temporary and phased**, not all at once, and they ramp up and down as buildings are completed.



2. Permanent operations jobs (after buildout)

Once fully operational, employment drops sharply.

For a **500 MW hyperscale AI campus**, typical ranges are:

Direct onsite jobs:

- **150 to 400 full-time employees**

Breakdown:

- Data center technicians
- Electrical engineers
- Cooling system operators
- Network engineers
- Security staff
- Facilities management

Even very large campuses are highly automated.

3. Security and support staffing

- 24/7 security teams
- Monitoring staff
- Maintenance contractors

These add:

- ~50–150 additional roles (often contracted rather than direct employees)
-

4. Indirect / contractor jobs (ongoing)

This is larger but less visible:

- Electrical maintenance firms
- HVAC and cooling specialists
- Fiber and telecom support
- Hardware refresh contractors

But these are:

- Rotational
 - Not fully dedicated full-time jobs
-



5. Total job picture (simple summary)

Phase	Jobs
Construction peak	2,000–6,000+ (temporary)
Permanent onsite staff	150–400
Ongoing contractors	100–300 (variable/rotating)



6. Why job numbers are relatively low

This surprises people, but it's structural:

AI data centers are:

- Highly automated
- Software-driven
- Remotely managed
- Designed for minimal human intervention

A single engineer can oversee:

- Thousands of servers
- Multiple data halls
- Automated cooling and power systems

Especially in AI facilities using systems from companies like NVIDIA, operations are increasingly software-orchestrated.



7. Why this matters politically

This is where debate often comes in (e.g., in places like Georgia and Texas):

Communities see:

- Massive land use
- Huge electricity demand (500 MW $\approx$ city-scale load)
- Tax incentives

But permanent jobs:

- Often only a few hundred

So critics argue:

“Industrial-scale infrastructure, but limited long-term employment”

Supporters counter:

- High tax base contribution
 - Construction employment
 - Regional tech investment
 - Supplier ecosystem growth
-

8. Comparison to other industries

Facility type	Jobs per ~500 MW scale
AI data center	150–400
Semiconductor fab	1,500–3,000+
Power plant	200–800
Manufacturing plant	500–5,000

AI data centers are on the **low end of employment intensity** for their size.

Bottom line

A fully built 500 MW AI campus typically results in:

-  **Thousands of temporary construction jobs**
-  **~150–400 permanent on-site jobs**
-  A modest layer of ongoing contractor support

So the key reality is:

These campuses are **massive infrastructure projects, not large employers once complete**

If you want, I can also break down **tax revenue vs. electricity cost vs. jobs created**, which is usually the core debate communities have about whether these projects are “worth it” economically.

1. Jobs vs. scale (what the community gets directly)

For a ~500 MW AI campus:

-  Construction: **2,000–6,000 temporary jobs**
-  Permanent jobs: **150–400 onsite**

Key takeaway:

- Job intensity is low for the footprint and power use
- Most long-term jobs are highly specialized (engineering, facilities, security)

So from a labor perspective:

It behaves more like a **utility plant or industrial substation complex** than a traditional “employer hub”

2. Tax revenue (what local governments focus on)

This is the main argument in favor.

Property tax base

A 500 MW campus typically represents:

- **Hundreds of millions to multiple billions of dollars in capital investment**
- Large, high-value real estate + equipment valuation

This can generate:

- Strong property tax revenue (depending on abatements)

BUT: incentives often reduce early revenue

Many projects receive:

- Property tax abatements (partial or full for 5–20 years)
- Sales tax exemptions on equipment
- Reduced utility infrastructure fees

So early-stage revenue is often:

Much lower than headline “investment value” suggests

Long-term tax profile (after incentives expire)

Once fully taxable, a campus can become:

- One of the largest single taxpayers in a county

But timing matters:

- Benefits may be delayed for a decade or more
-

3. Electricity cost & grid burden (the hidden half of the equation)

This is where the economics become more complex.

A 500 MW AI campus:

$$500 \text{ MW continuous load} = 12,000 \text{ MWh per day}$$

That is:

- ~4.4 million MWh per year
-



Electricity cost (very rough range)

At industrial rates (~\$40–\$90/MWh depending on region):

$$4.4 \text{ million MWh/year} \times 40\text{--}90 \text{ \$/MWh} \approx 176\text{M--}396\text{M \$/year}$$

So:

Hundreds of millions of dollars per year in electricity consumption

But the bigger issue isn't just cost — it's infrastructure

The region must also fund or absorb:

- Transmission line upgrades
- Substations
- Grid balancing reserves
- Peak demand capacity

These can cost:

- Hundreds of millions to billions over time
-

4. Water + cooling + externalities (often overlooked)

Depending on cooling design:

If evaporative cooling is used:

- Millions of gallons/day water demand possible
- Wastewater blowdown management required

If dry cooling is used:

- Lower water use
- Higher electricity consumption (grid burden increases)

So there is often a tradeoff:

Water burden vs. electricity burden



5. Putting it all together (the “ROI for a community” lens)

Here’s the simplified balance sheet communities are implicitly evaluating:

Category	Benefit	Cost/Risk
Jobs	Low permanent employment	Limited long-term labor impact
Tax revenue	High potential (after incentives)	Delayed due to abatements
Electricity system	Utility-scale customer revenue	Grid upgrades + capacity stress
Water/environment	Some infrastructure investment	Local resource strain (in some designs)
Economic signaling	Attracts tech investment	Concentrated industrial footprint



6. Why this debate is so contentious

Because different stakeholders value different things:

Local governments:

- Care about long-term tax base

Utilities:

- Care about stable large-load customers

Residents:

- Care about water, noise, land use, and bills

Developers (e.g., Microsoft or Google):

- Care about scalable AI infrastructure
-



7. The core tension in one sentence

A 500 MW AI campus is:

A **high-tax, low-job, extremely high-infrastructure-demand asset**



Bottom line

For a community, a hyperscale AI campus typically delivers:

- ✓ Strong long-term tax base (after incentives expire)
- ✓ Major infrastructure investment
- ✗ Relatively few permanent jobs
- ✗ Significant electricity and grid expansion requirements
- ⚠ Potential water, land-use, and environmental tradeoffs



The water issue in Morgan County (data center-related concerns)

Morgan County is part of the broader **east-central Georgia data center boom region**, where multiple large-scale hyperscale projects (often in nearby counties like Newton, Walton, and Fayette) are being built. These projects have triggered **regional water and groundwater concerns**, even when the data center is not physically inside the county boundary.

Recent reporting shows **three main categories of problems being discussed locally**:



1. Reported drinking water quality changes (turbidity / “dirty water”)

Residents in parts of the region have reported:

- Brown or muddy tap water
- Sediment in private wells
- Changes in taste and clarity
- Increased need for bottled water

In one widely reported case tied to a **Meta-related data center development in the region**, lawmakers brought samples of discolored water to federal officials during an EPA hearing, prompting an investigation into whether construction activity or groundwater stress contributed to the issue.

What might be happening (competing explanations):

Experts and utilities are still investigating, but leading hypotheses include:

- **Groundwater disturbance from heavy pumping**
- **Lowered water tables causing sediment draw into wells**
- **Construction blasting and land disturbance**
- **Stress on aquifers during drought conditions**

Importantly:

There is not yet a final EPA determination that the data center directly caused contamination.



2. Water pressure and groundwater stress

Across nearby Georgia counties, residents have reported:

- Lower water pressure in rural systems
- Shallow wells dropping faster than expected
- Greater seasonal variability in supply

Investigations in adjacent counties found cases where data center campuses used **tens of millions of gallons of water during construction and early operation**, contributing to system stress and drawing attention to oversight gaps.

Even when water is “legally permitted,” problems can arise because:

- Local systems were designed for **small towns and agriculture**
 - Data center loads are **large and concentrated**
 - Groundwater recharge is slow in parts of the Piedmont region
-

⚠️ 3. The key Morgan County-specific issue: planning, not just one facility

A critical detail:

👉 Morgan County itself is not confirmed to host a fully operational hyperscale campus of the same scale as nearby counties

👉 Instead, it is affected by **regional spillover impacts and proposed development pressure**

Recently:

- The county imposed a **temporary moratorium on data center development** to study impacts and develop regulations

That moratorium reflects concerns about:

- Water availability
- Groundwater protection
- Infrastructure capacity
- Long-term growth planning

4. Why water systems in this region are sensitive

Morgan County sits in the **Upper Oconee River basin**, which has:

- Small municipal systems
- Private wells in rural areas
- Limited redundancy in water supply
- Dependence on groundwater aquifers

That makes it vulnerable when:

- Large industrial users arrive quickly
- Multiple developments cluster in nearby counties
- Drought conditions reduce recharge

5. What data centers have to do with it (indirect but important)

Even when not directly “polluting,” data centers can affect water systems through:

A. Construction phase impacts

- Land clearing increases runoff and sediment movement
- Blasting and grading can disturb shallow groundwater systems

B. Operational water demand (if evaporative cooling is used)

- Continuous withdrawals for cooling systems
- Concentrated demand spikes during hot weather

C. Infrastructure strain

- Municipal systems may need upgrades
 - Wells may drop in pressure if aquifer demand increases regionally
-



6. The uncertainty (important context)

Right now, the situation is best described as:

A contested environmental impact under active investigation, not a confirmed single-cause failure

Key unresolved questions:

- How much is groundwater depletion vs natural drought variation?
 - Are construction activities contributing to turbidity?
 - Are multiple nearby developments interacting cumulatively?
 - Are utility systems properly metering industrial water use?
-



Bottom line

In Morgan County and surrounding Georgia counties:

- Residents have reported **muddy water, low pressure, and well issues**
- These concerns emerged alongside rapid **data center and industrial growth**
- Investigations are ongoing, including EPA review

- Local governments are now responding with **development moratoriums and policy reviews**

The core issue is not one single plant, but:

A rapidly expanding cluster of high-water-demand infrastructure being added to relatively small, groundwater-dependent communities